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Extension of Use of Propionates in Processed Meat

# Executive summary

Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) received an Application from Axiome Pty Ltd, on behalf of Kemin Industries (Asia) Pte Ltd, seeking approval to use propionates as anti-microbial preservatives in processed meat, poultry and game. Use of the term propionates in this document refers collectively to propionic acid (INS 280), sodium propionate (INS 281), potassium propionate (INS 282) and calcium propionate (INS 283). The justification for the Application is to have alternative anti-microbial preservatives to limit the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* in processed meat, poultry and game.

The Applicant seeks approval for the use of propionates, under the conditions of good manufacturing practice (GMP), in the following food categories of the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* (the Code):

(i) Processed meat, poultry and game products in whole cuts or pieces, and

(ii) Processed comminuted meat, poultry and game products.

Propionates are currently listed for use under the conditions of GMP in these two food categories in the Codex General Standard for Food Additives (GSFA).

In the Code, all four propionates are currently permitted to be added to breads and bakery products, and flour products (including noodles and pasta). In addition, propionic acid, sodium propionate and calcium propionate are permitted in a number of other food categories.

Propionic acid is a normal intermediary metabolite in humans and is naturally present in a wide variety of foods. Propionic acid and its sodium, calcium and potassium salts have a long history of use as food additives. Assessments by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) and the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) have concluded that there is no evidence of systemic toxicity resulting from oral exposure to propionates. Establishment of an ADI expressed in numerical form was therefore not deemed necessary by JECFA and EFSA. Because there is no evidence of systemic toxicity resulting from oral exposure to propionates, a dietary exposure assessment was not conducted for this Application.

Evidence submitted in support of this Application provides adequate assurance that propionates fulfil the stated technological function as anti-microbial food additives in processed meat, poultry and game. Any additional dietary exposure to propionates resulting from their use as food additives in processed meat, poultry and game products presents no identifiable public health and safety concerns.

**Table of contents**

[Executive summary i](#_Toc459985079)

[1 Introduction 2](#_Toc459985080)

[1.1 Objectives of the risk and technical assessment 2](#_Toc459985081)

[2 Food technology assessment 2](#_Toc459985082)

[2.1 Introduction and description of substance 2](#_Toc459985083)

[2.1.1 Identity 3](#_Toc459985084)

[2.1.2 Technological purpose 3](#_Toc459985085)

[2.1.3 Technological justification 3](#_Toc459985086)

[2.2 Chemical properties 5](#_Toc459985087)

[2.2.1 Chemical names and structures 5](#_Toc459985088)

[2.3 Analytical method for detection 6](#_Toc459985089)

[2.4 Manufacturing process 7](#_Toc459985090)

[2.4.1 Propionic Acid 7](#_Toc459985091)

[2.4.2 Sodium propionate, calcium propionate and potassium propionate 7](#_Toc459985092)

[2.5 Product specifications 7](#_Toc459985093)

[2.5.1 Stability 10](#_Toc459985094)

[2.6 Evaluation of efficacy 10](#_Toc459985095)

[2.6.1 Listeria monocytogenes 10](#_Toc459985096)

[2.6.2 Challenge studies to demonstrate efficacy 10](#_Toc459985097)

[2.7 Technical and efficacy conclusion 12](#_Toc459985098)

[3 Hazard assessment 12](#_Toc459985099)

[4 Dietary exposure assessment 13](#_Toc459985100)

[5 Risk characterisation 13](#_Toc459985101)

[6 Risk and technical assessment conclusions 13](#_Toc459985102)

[6.1 Reponses to risk and technical assessment questions 13](#_Toc459985103)

[7 References 14](#_Toc459985104)

# 1 Introduction

Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) received an Application from Axiome Pty Ltd, on behalf of Kemin Industries (Asia) Pte Ltd, seeking approval to use propionates as anti-microbial preservatives in processed meat, poultry and game products. The term propionates is used in this document to refer collectively to propionic acid (INS 280), sodium propionate (INS 281), potassium propionate (INS 282) and calcium propionate (INS 283). The justification for the Application is to have alternative anti-microbial preservatives to limit the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* in processed meat, poultry and game products.

The Applicant seeks approval for the use of propionates, under the conditions of GMP, in the following food categories:

(i) Processed meat, poultry and game products in whole cuts or pieces, and

(ii) Processed comminuted meat, poultry and game products.

Propionates are listed under these two food categories in the Codex General Standard for Food Additives (GSFA), for use under the conditions of GMP.

In the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* (the Code), all four propionates are currently permitted to be added to breads and bakery products (at a maximum permitted level, MPL, of 4000 mg/kg) and flour products, including noodles and pasta (MPL 2000 mg/kg). Sodium propionate and calcium propionate are also permitted in a number of other foods categories, namely oil emulsions (under the conditions of GMP), fruit and vegetable spreads including jams, chutneys and related products (GMP), fruit and vegetable juices and fruit and vegetable juice products (GMP), formulated beverages (GMP), and sauces and toppings, including mayonnaises and salad dressings (GMP). Propionic acid, sodium propionate and calcium propionate are permitted to be added to solid formulated supplementary sports foods at an MPL of 400 mg/kg.

## 1.1 Objectives of the risk and technical assessment

The objectives of this risk and technical assessment were to assess whether the addition of propionates to processed meat, poultry and game products is technologically justified and whether addition of propionates added to processed meat, poultry and game products presents any public health and safety concerns. The following key questions had been posed:

1. Do propionates achieve their stated technological function in the form and quantity used as food additives in processed meat, poultry and game products?

2. Are there any public health and safety concerns associated with the use of propionates as food additives in processed meat, poultry and game products?

# 2 Food technology assessment

## 2.1 Introduction and description of substance

Propionic acid and its calcium, sodium and potassium salts, collectively known as “propionates”, exert antimicrobial activity against mould and a few bacteria. Propionic acid has found extensive use in the bakery field where it inhibits mould and the bacterium *Bacillus mesentericu,* the spores ofwhich can survive the baking process.

Propionates are also particularly effective in inhibiting *L. monocytogenes* at the pH level associated with comminuted meat, poultry and game that receive no further heat treatment prior to consumption by the consumer. Propionates can be used at low concentrations to prevent growth of *L. monocytogenes* and have the advantage of not imparting any flavour on the product. When used in combination with nitrite/nitrate they can provide control against a broader range of microorganisms and potentially result in reduced nitrite/nitrate use levels.

Propionates naturally occur in foods such as butter, cheese and other dairy foods and have been used as food preservatives widely for more than 60 years. The safety of propionates has been reviewed by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the US Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) and all of these agency reviews have confirmed or reconfirmed that there are no safety concerns in respect to the use of propionates as preservatives in the currently permitted uses and usage levels.

Current permissions for propionates in the Code are described in Section 1. Codex permits propionates in food categories 08.2 (Processed meat, poultry, and game products in whole pieces or cuts) and 08.3 (Processed comminuted meat, poultry, and game products), under the conditions of GMP. In the USA; sodium propionate and propionic acid are permitted in ready-to-eat meat and poultry, where antimicrobials are permitted at levels up to 0.5% (by weight of total formulation).

The purpose of this application is to request permission for the extension of use of propionates in processed meat, poultry and game products in whole cuts or pieces and processed comminuted meat, poultry and game products. The permission sought is for use at a maximum level necessary to achieve one or more technological purposes under the conditions of GMP. Typical use levels range from 0.1 – 0.25% as propionic acid on food product weight basis.

### 2.1.1 Identity

Propionates are approved as preservatives for use in certain foods in the Code. Their purity for use and hence the level and type of impurities is controlled by the requirements of Schedule 3 – Identity and Purity, which references JECFA Food Additive Specifications as one of the primary specification sources (section 4.2).

### 2.1.2 Technological purpose

The technological purpose of propionates, with reference to Schedule 14 – Technological Purposes performed by Substances used as Food Additives is as anti-microbial preservatives.

### 2.1.3 Technological justification

The Application is specifically focused on the control of *L. monocytogenes* contamination in processed meat, poultry and game products in whole pieces or cuts, and processed comminuted meat, poultry and game products.

FSANZ Australian food recall statistics 2005 to 2014, provided by the Applicant to support the technical justification for using propionates, identify microbial contamination as the principal recall reason (33% of all recalls) which is typically the case for each year of data. For all microorganisms associated with microbial contamination recalls, *L. monocytogenes* is reported as the most common causal organism (45% of all microorganisms).

Meat, including poultry, is the most common food category recalled due to microbial contamination, and *L. monocytogenes* is the most common microbial contaminant of recalled meat, including poultry (Figure 1).

Number of recalls

*E.coli*

29 recalls in total

*Salmonella*

38 recalls in total

*L. monocytogenes*

88 recalls in total

*Figure 1: Type of food products recalled by FSANZ 1 January 2005 to 31 December 2014 due to microbiological contamination (Australian recalls).*

Propionates have been demonstrated to be particularly effective for inhibiting growth of *L. monocytogenes* in processed meat, poultry and game (at low usage levels and without effect on product quality), either singly or in combination with other preservatives for synergistic effect. The mechanism for antimicrobial activity, as with other lipophilic organic acids, is thought to be that they inhibit or kill microorganisms by interfering with the permeability of the microbial cell membrane, thus disrupting important metabolic processes. The undissociated form of the lipophilic acid is required for antimicrobial activity. Thus inhibitory potency, together with other factors, is linked to pKa value (propionic acid has a pKa value of 4.87). Compared to other organic acids such as lactic acid (pKa 3.83), the higher pKa value of propionic acid requires lower levels to achieve the same antimicrobial potency.

Data presented from two published challenge studies (Glass et al 2013, 2007) by the Applicant and assessed by FSANZ (see section 2.7), demonstrates the efficacy of sodium propionate, at a range of concentrations and temperature regimes, as a preservative to inhibit the growth of *L. monocytogenes* in:

* cured deli-style turkey and ham and uncured turkey
* meat preparations where sodium solution was incorporated into the brine solution
* sliced cooked meat by inoculating the surface between slices.

FSANZ deems the range of meat, poultry and game assessed to be sufficient to demonstrate efficacy in a variety of processed meat, poultry and game concordant with the permission being sought i.e. for use in processed comminuted meat, poultry and game products and processed meat, poultry and game products in whole cuts or pieces.

## 2.2 Chemical properties

### 2.2.1 Chemical names and structures

#### 2.2.1.1 Propionic acid

Common names: Propanoic acid; Ethylformic acid; Methylacetic acid

Carboxyethane; Ethanecarboxylic acid.

INS No.: 280

Chemical name: Propionic acid

C.A.S. number: 79-09-4

Chemical formula: C3H6O2

Molecular weight: 74.08

Propionic acid is miscible with water and ethanol.

Structural formula:



#### 2.2.1.2 Sodium propionate

Common names: Sodium propanoate; Sodium dipropionate; Sodium ethanecarboxylate; Napropion; Propionic acid, sodium salt; Propanoic acid, sodium salt; Propanoic acid, sodium salt (1:1).

INS No.: 281

Chemical name: Sodium propionate

C.A.S. number: 137-40-6

Chemical formula: C3H5NaO2

Molecular weight: 96.06

Sodium propionate is freely soluble in water and ethanol

Structural formula:



#### 2.2.1.3 Calcium propionate

Common names: Calcium propanoate; Mycoban; Calcium dipropionate; Bioban-C; Propionic acid, calcium salt; Propanoic acid, calcium salt; Propanoic acid, calcium salt (2:1).

INS No.: 282

Chemical name: Calcium propionate

C.A.S. number: 4075-81-4

Chemical formula: C6H10CaO4

Molecular weight: 186.22

 Calcium propionate is freely soluble in water and ethanol.

Structural formula:



#### 2.2.1.4 Potassium propionate

Common names: Potassium propanoate; Potassium propionate; Propionic acid, potassium salt; Propanoic acid potassium salt; Propanoic acid, potassium salt (1:1)

INS No.: 283

Chemical name: Potassium propionate

C.A.S. number: 327-62-8

Chemical formula: C3H5KO2

Molecular weight: 112.17

Potassium propionate is freely soluble in water and soluble in ethanol (JECFA, 2006).

Structural formula:



## 2.3 Analytical method for detection

Numerous methods for the determination of propionates in food have been referenced by the Applicant and are included in section 7 below. It is noted that the foods referenced are bread and bakery products rather than processed meat, the food group which is the focus of the Application.

FSANZ sought advice from the Implementation Subcommittee for Food Regulation (ISFR) Expert Advisory Group (EAG) on analytical methods regarding the suitability of analytical methods relevant to this Application. The ISFR EAG stated “that there are appropriate methods available for the determination of propionic acid in processed meats”.

## 2.4 Manufacturing process

### 2.4.1 Propionic Acid

Although numerous fermentation processes have been identified and evaluated, the industrial production of propionic acid is almost entirely by chemical synthesis (petrochemical route). The acid can also be obtained from oxidation of propionaldehyde and very pure propionic acid can be obtained from propionitrile. The main (synthetic) processes include the Reppe process where ethylene is reacted with carbon monoxide and steam (synthesis gas) in the presence of a nickel catalyst, to produce propionaldehyde, which is then oxidised to propionic acid, and the Larson process from ethanol and carbon monoxide using boron trifluoride as a catalyst. It is also obtained by oxidation of propionaldehyde, as a by-product in the Fischer-Tropsch process for the synthesis of fuel and in wood distillation as a by-product of the pyrolysis.

### 2.4.2 Sodium propionate, calcium propionate and potassium propionate

Calcium, potassium and sodium propionates are produced by the reaction of propionic acid with the respective hydroxides or carbonates:

* Sodium propionate is produced from propionic acid and sodium hydroxide in hydrogen peroxide and water.
* Calcium propionate is produced by the reaction of propionic acid and calcium oxide in water in the presence of a flocculent.

In both instances following the reaction, the products are filtered, spray dried, sieved and packed.

For potassium propionate, no description of manufacturing methods was readily retrievable.

## 2.5 Product specifications

For the specifications for the propionates, the Applicant has referred to the specifications of propionic acid (INS 280), sodium propionate (INS 281), calcium propionate (INS 282) and potassium propionate (INS 283) which have been defined in Commission Regulation (EU) No 231/2012 and by JECFA (2006) (Tables 1- 4).









It is noted in table 3 that the pH range in the EU specifications (6.0 - 9.0 of a 10 % solution of calcium propionate) and the JECFA specifications (7.5 -10.5) are different.

According to the Food Chemical Codex (FCC, 2010-2011) the pH of a 10 % aqueous solution of calcium propionate is between 7.5 and 10.5. It is not necessary to further address this difference in pH. The reference to either of the JECFA or FCC specifications is acceptable for calcium propionate.

Specifications for propionates are adequately covered by existing references.

### 2.5.1 Stability

No data have been found in relation to reaction and fate in food of propionic acid and its salts as food additives, however, information provided by industry during the EFSA re-evaluation of propionic acid – propionates (INS 280-283), indicated that the stability of sodium or calcium propionate in their original packaging (not added to food) is 3 years.

## 2.6 Evaluation of efficacy

The Applicant seeks an extension of use of propionic acid, and its calcium, potassium and sodium salts, as anti-microbial preservatives in processed meat, poultry and game. The principal justification for seeking an extension of use in processed meat, poultry and game is to inhibit the growth of *L. monocytogenes*. The efficacy of propionates to prevent the growth of *L. monocytogenes* in a variety of processed meat, poultry and game was considered.

### 2.6.1 *Listeria monocytogenes*

*L. monocytogenes* causes invasive listeriosis, a disease that can have severe consequences for particular groups of the population. Listeriosis most often affects individuals experiencing immunosuppression, including those with chronic disease (e.g. cancer, diabetes, malnutrition, AIDS), foetuses or neonates (assumed to be infected *in utero*); the elderly and individuals being treated with immunosuppressive drugs (e.g. transplant patients). Manifestations of the disease include, but are not limited to, bacteraemia, septicaemia, meningitis, encephalitis, miscarriage, neonatal disease, premature birth, and stillbirth (Codex 2007). In otherwise healthy individuals, infection with *L. monocytogenes* is usually non-invasive, causing few or no symptoms and may be mistaken for mild gastroenteritis or flu.

*L. monocytogenes* grows at low oxygen conditions and refrigeration temperatures (<4° C), and can survive for long periods in the environment, on foods, in the processing plant and in the household refrigerator. Foods associated with causing listeriosis have been overwhelmingly ready-to-eat (RTE) products that are typically held for extended periods at refrigerated temperatures, in which *L. monocytogenes* can grow to levels that can present a risk to consumers (Codex 2007). Growth of *L. monocytogenes* does not occur in foods with pH <4.4, regardless of water activity; water activity <0.92, regardless of pH; or a combination of pH <5.0 and water activity <0.94 (Codex 2007). Data provided by the Applicant on the characteristics for various processed meat products have pH >5.5 and water activity > 0.95, characteristics which support the growth of *L. monocytogenes* in the absence of inhibitory substances.

### 2.6.2 Challenge studies to demonstrate efficacy

The Applicant provided data from two published challenge studies of sodium propionate in cured deli-style turkey (Glass et al 2013) and ham and uncured turkey (Glass et al 2007) to demonstrate the efficacy of sodium propionate to inhibit the growth of *L. monocytogenes* when stored at 4⁰ C and under temperature abuse conditions of 7 and 10⁰ C. Sodium propionate solution was incorporated into meat preparations with brine solution to achieve the target concentrations of propionate. Control product, without the addition of the sodium propionate treatment, was also processed concurrently with treated products.

The cured deli-style turkey products were prepared, cooked, sliced, chilled and vacuum packaged at a commercial manufacturer under GMP before transport at 4⁰ C to the laboratory where the challenge studies were performed. The studies were replicated twice.

The challenge studies were performed on sliced cooked meat and surface inoculated between slices with 5 log cfu per 100g package with a five strain mixture of *L. monocytogenes*. Inoculated products were vacuum-packaged in gas-impermeable pouches and incubated at 4, 7 or 10⁰ C for 12 weeks. The strains used for the challenge experiments on cured deli-style turkey are described in Table 5 (Glass et al 2013). The challenge strains were the same for ham and uncured turkey with the exception of *L. monocytogenes* strain Scott A (clinical strain serotype 4b) being used instead of strain FSL-C1-109 (Glass et al 2007).

For cured deli-style turkey stored at 4⁰ C, growth inhibition of *L. monocytogenes* relative to the control was achieved for all concentrations of liquid sodium propionate tested (Table 6) and growth of *L. monocytogenes* was limited to an increase of <1-log for a minimum of nine weeks for all concentrations and samples tested for both replicate trials (Table 6). Populations of *L. monocytogenes* stored at 4⁰ C for 10 weeks and beyond demonstrated variability between samples within replicate trials, supporting ≥1-log increase in at least one sample each until week 12 (Glass et al 2013).

For ham and uncured turkey, growth inhibition of <1-log was achieved for the duration of the trial (12 weeks) for the highest concentrations of propionate, 0.20 and 0.30%. For ham and uncured turkey containing 0.10% propionate and stored at 4⁰ C, growth inhibition of <1-log was achieved for 10 and six weeks, respectively. For ham containing 0.05% propionate, growth inhibition was equivalent to the control (Glass et al 2007).

For the cured deli-style turkey stored under moderate temperature abuse conditions of 7⁰C, *L. monocytogenes* growth in the control exceeded 4-log after two weeks of storage. For cured deli-style turkey preparations containing 0.30% proprietary liquid sodium propionate solution, growth of *L. monocytogenes* was inhibited to <1-log after two weeks of storage and concentrations of 0.40 and 0.50% inhibited growth to <1-log after four and six weeks of storage, respectively at 7⁰ C.

For ham and uncured turkey containing ≥0.20% propionate, *L. monocytogenes* growth was delayed by four weeks at 7⁰ C and for ham containing 0.30% propionate; *L. monocytogenes* growth was delayed by four weeks at 10⁰ C. For the uncured turkey stored at 10⁰ C, none of the treatments delayed *L. monocytogenes* growth (Glass et al 2007).

**Table 5: Strains of *L. monocytogenes* used in challenge studies to demonstrate efficacy of sodium propionate as a preservative in processed meat products**

| *L. monocytogenes* strain  | Serotype | Source of strain |
| --- | --- | --- |
| FSL-C1-109 | 4b | Deli turkey isolate associated with illness |
| LM 101 | 4b | Hard salami isolate |
| LM 108 | 1/2a | Hard salami isolate |
| LM 310 | 4 | Goats milk cheese isolate associated with illness |
| V7 | 1 | Unpasteurised milk isolate |

**Table 6: Inhibition of *L. monocytogenes* in high moisture processed meat products at different target concentrations of propionic acid**

| Processed meat type | Target propionate concentration(%) | Mean pH ±SD | Moisture content (%) | Mean water activity ±SD | Duration of inhibiting growth to <1-log (weeks) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Cured deli-style turkey | Control0.30†0.40†0.50† | 6.44±0.106.30±0.126.20±0.126.13±0.10 | 76.62±0.5677.02±0.6876.54±0.4976.18±0.49 | 0.978±0.0040.977±0.0030.977±0.0030.977±0.002 | <4999 |
| Uncured turkey | Control0.10‡0.20‡0.30‡ | 6.42±0.10# | 75.04±1.08# | 0.972±0.001# | <2612\*12\* |
| Ham | Control0.05‡0.10‡0.20‡0.30‡ | 6.39±0.02# | 73.65±0.10# | 0.967±0.000# | 221012\*12\* |

† Liquid sodium propionate concentration; ‡ propionate concentration; #Results are an average ± standard deviation for analysis of 16 antimicrobial formulations, including propionates, sorbates, benzoates, lactate-diacetate and combinations of antimicrobials; \* duration of the study.

Additional challenge studies on a variety of processed meat products were provided to FSANZ containing commercial confidential information. FSANZ has assessed the studies and concludes that *L. monocytogenes* growth inhibition was achieved.

## 2.7 Technical and efficacy conclusion

The use of propionates in processed meat, game products in whole meat cuts or pieces, processed comminuted meat, poultry and game products is technologically justified. In liquid preparation for addition to processed meat, sodium propionate, calcium propionate and potassium propionate will be dissociated into the active propionate ion and their relevant cations. Data presented by the Applicant and assessed by FSANZ demonstrates the efficacy of propionic acid and its sodium, calcium and potassium salts at a range of concentrations, as a preservative to inhibit the growth of *L. monocytogenes* in processed meat products in the form and amounts proposed. FSANZ deems the range of meat products assessed to be sufficient to demonstrate efficacy in a variety of processed meat products.

# 3 Hazard assessment

Propionic acid is a normal intermediary metabolite in humans and is naturally present in a wide variety of foods. The Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) established an Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) “not limited” for propionic acid and its sodium, calcium and potassium salts (WHO 1974). The term ADI “not limited” is no longer used by JECFA, however it has the same meaning as the current term ADI "not specified”, which is applicable to a food substance of very low toxicity for which the total dietary intake of the substance arising from its use at the levels necessary to achieve the desired effect and from its acceptable background levels in food, does not represent a hazard to health (WHO 2009). Establishment of an ADI expressed in numerical form was therefore not deemed necessary by JECFA. A subsequent evaluation by the European Scientific Committee on Food (SCF) concluded that there were no adverse health consequences to humans from the use of propionic acid as a food additive and established an ADI “not specified” (SCF 1992).

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has recently re-evaluated propionic acid and its sodium, calcium and potassium salts (EFSA 2014). EFSA concluded that an ADI should not be established because no evidence of systemic toxicity has been observed in animal or humans studies. The only reported adverse effect attributable to exposure from propionates via the oral route was reversible epithelial hyperplasia of the oesophagus due to a local irritant effect at dietary concentrations of 10,000 mg/kg and greater.

# 4 Dietary exposure assessment

A dietary exposure assessment was not conducted because there is no evidence of systemic toxicity resulting from oral exposure to propionates, and therefore a numerical ADI has not been established. It is noted that the Application indicates that typical levels of addition of propionates to processed meat products are in the range of 0.1–0.25% w/w (1000–2500 mg/kg), which is lower than the highest existing MPL for propionates in the Code (4000 mg/kg for breads and bakery products). Levels as high as 6200 mg/kg have been reported to occur naturally in cheese (EFSA 2014).

# 5 Risk characterisation

Propionic acid is a normal intermediary metabolite in humans and is naturally present in a wide variety of foods. Propionic acid and its sodium, calcium and potassium salts have a long history of use as food additives. Assessments by JECFA and EFSA have concluded that there is no evidence of systemic toxicity resulting from oral exposure to propionates. Any additional dietary exposure to propionates resulting from their use as food additives in processed meat products presents no identifiable public health and safety concerns.

# 6 Risk and technical assessment conclusions

This risk and technical assessment evaluated the technological suitability and safety of the proposed addition of propionates to processed meat products.

## 6.1 Reponses to risk and technical assessment questions

**1. *Do propionates achieve their stated technological function in the form and quantity used as food additives in processed meat products?***

| ***Section of report*** | ***Summary response/conclusion*** |
| --- | --- |
| Section 2 | Evidence submitted in support of this Application provides adequate assurance that propionates fulfil the stated technological function as anti-microbial preservatives to limit the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* in processed meat products.  |

**2. Are there any public health and safety concerns associated with the use of propionates as food additives in processed meat products?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Section of report*** | ***Summary response/conclusion*** |
| **Section 3, 4 and 5** | There are no identifiable public health and safety concerns associated with the proposed use of propionates as food additives in processed meat products. |
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* isothermal gas chromatographic determination of the propionic acid after mechanical extraction from bread and cake (Isshiki et al., 1981)
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